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Abstract

Backgrounds. Histamine participates in the immune regulation of several gastrointestinal diseases. However, the effect of histamine on intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs), the front line of intestinal mucosal immune system, is not well-understood. We examined whether histamine has a direct effect on cytokine production by IELs and the involvement of histamine receptor subtypes.

Methods. Murine IELs were activated by PMA plus ionomycin with/without histamine. Secreted cytokines were measured and compared with those of splenocytes. Intracellular cytokines were detected by flow cytometry. Expression of histamine receptor subtypes in IELs was examined by RT-PCR.

Results. Histamine H₁ receptor (H₁R), H₂R, and H₄R, but not H₃R mRNA were expressed on IELs. Histamine significantly decreased Th1-cytokine (IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2) and also IL-4 production in IELs as well as splenocytes. The selective H₂R antagonist famotidine, but not the H₁R antagonist pyrilamine nor the H₃R/H₄R antagonist thioperamide, competes with the inhibitory effect of histamine on these cytokine production in IELs. These suppressive effects of histamine were mimicked by a selective H₂R/H₄R agonist dimaprit. Further, these suppressive effects of histamine for Th1-cytokine and IL-4 did not accompany with the enhancement of IL-10 production nor IL-10 mRNA level in IELs. Intracellular cytokine analysis revealed that the number of IFN-γ-producing αβ T cells was significantly reduced by histamine in IELs.

Conclusions. Histamine has a direct suppressive effect on IEL-derived cytokines via H₂R, which would have a crucial role in the suppression of local immunoregulation in the intestinal epithelium.
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**Introduction**

Histamine, one of the most intensively studied biological amines, is involved in the immune regulation of the inflammatory response of several gastrointestinal disorders such as food allergy, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Histamine exerts its effects through four receptors (H₁R, H₂R, H₃R and H₄R) which are members of the G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family. Recent studies revealed that histamine regulates innate and acquired immune responses through H₁R as well as H₂R and shifts the systemic Th1/Th2 balance from Th1 to Th2.

Accumulating evidence suggests that histamine also affects gastrointestinal associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) and has an important role in the intestinal mucosal immune system. In the digestive tract, mast cells, basophils, and gastric enterochromaffin-like cells are the major source of “granule-stored” histamine, and recently the cells such as lymphocytes, macrophages and colorectal cancer cells, which have high L-histidine decarboxylase (HDC) activity, are also thought to be a source of “inducible” histamine. In patients with IBD, urinary excretion of the histamine metabolite N-methylhistamine is enhanced and is related to clinical and endoscopic disease activity. H₁R and H₂R mRNA levels in the small intestine are significantly elevated in patients with food allergies and IBS. Based on these observation and others, there is a speculation that not only H₁R, but also H₂R may be related for the dysregulation of the mucosal immunity. Furthermore, in colorectal cancer, histamine modulates the Th1/Th2 balance and attenuates anti-tumor cytokine expression. Several clinical trials have been carried out with H₂R antagonists in colorectal cancer patients; both increased survival and immunological recognition of the tumor is reported.

Intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) bear the front line of intestinal mucosal immunity and are phenotypically and functionally distinct from T cells in peripheral blood or the spleen.
Suggested functions for IELs are mediation of inflammatory reaction, surveillance of the intestinal epithelium and induction or maintenance of oral tolerance. It is plausible for IELs to play a crucial role in the development and progression of the intestinal diseases. Studies indicate that IELs secret several cytokines as immunoregulator cells in response to foreign antigens in vivo or stimulants in vitro. Secreted cytokines are varied by the condition of the stimulation. In murine IELs, IFN-γ and IL-5 are produced spontaneously and enhanced by stimulation. Other studies shown that IFN-γ, TNF-α, TGF-β1, IL-2, IL-3 and IL-6 are produced in CD8+ IELs by anti TCR mAb stimulation. Yet, there are few reports studying how IELs are regulated, and no study has examined the regulatory effects of histamine on IEL function.

The aim of current study was to determine whether histamine contributes to regulate intestinal mucosal immune responses mediated by IELs. We examined whether histamine has direct effects on conventional Th1- or Th2-cytokine production by IELs in vitro and whether different effects are mediated by the different histamine receptor subtypes. Further, we determined which IELs subsets were involved in IFN-γ production by detecting intracellular cytokine production.
Materials and methods

Mice

Male C3H/HeN mice were obtained from Japan SLC (Hamamatsu, Japan) and were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. All mice in this study were used at 7-10 weeks of age and were allowed free access to food and water ad libitum. All experiments were performed according to the Guidelines and Regulations for Laboratory Animal Care of Hamamatsu University School of Medicine.

Materials

Phorbol myristate acetate (PMA), ionomycin, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from Escherichia coli (serotype 026:B6), histamine dihydrochloride, pyrilamine maleate salt, famotidine, thioperamide maleate salt, and RPMI-1640 were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Dimaprit was purchased from Wako (Osaka, Japan). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY, USA). Percoll was purchased from Amersham /GE Healthcare Biosciences (Little Chalfont, UK). PE-labeled anti-mouse TCRβ chain, FITC-labeled anti-mouse TCRγδ, FITC-labeled anti-mouse CD3, PE-labeled anti-mouse CD4, PerCP-labeled anti-mouse CD4, PE-labeled anti-mouse CD8a, PE-labeled CD11c, PE-labeled anti-mouse CD14, FITC-labeled anti-mouse IFN-γ, PE-labeled anti-mouse IL-4 were purchased from BD PharMingen (San Diego, CA, USA).

PMA and ionomycin were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and diluted in RPMI-1640. Residual DMSO was <0.5% in culture medium. The other reagents such as histamine ligands and LPS were diluted in Milli Q water, and the stock solutions were stored at -20°C until use.

Isolation of IELs and preparation of splenocytes

IELs were isolated from the small intestine according to a previously reported
method \(^{30}\) with a minor modification \(^{31}\). Briefly, the small intestine was cut into pieces and stirred at 37°C for 45 min in HBSS containing 5% FBS. Supernatants containing IELs were filtered through a glass wool column before centrifugation through a 44/70% percoll gradient. IELs at the interface were collected, washed and then resuspended in culture medium (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS).

The spleen was removed from sacrificed mice and cut into several pieces. The cell suspension was then centrifuged and the cell pellet was resuspended in Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline. The red blood cells were lysed in lysing buffer (NH\(_4\)Cl 150 mM, KHCO\(_3\) 1 mM, EDTA 0.01 mM). Splenocytes were washed two times and resuspended in the culture medium (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS).

**Analysis of H\(_1\)R, H\(_2\)R, H\(_3\)R, and H\(_4\)R mRNA expression on IELs**

The mRNA expression of histamine receptor subtypes in IELs was detected by conventional reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Isolation of total RNA was performed using RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) with DNase treatment (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). After DNase treatment, reverse transcription was performed using random hexamer primers (TaKaRa BIO, Otsu, Japan) and M-MLV (TaKaRa BIO). The oligonucleotide primers used for reaction were as follows; for β-actin, 5’-tttaccaactggagacgac-3’ (forward) and 5’-ccatcacaatgcctgtgta-3’ (reverse), fragment size 236 bp; for H\(_1\)R, 5’-gccctttggtggatcgacagt-3’ (forward) and 5’-tgtctggaatgtgagcgaag-3’ (reverse), fragment size 153 bp; for H\(_2\)R, 5’-ttctttacttcactgccttcg-3’ (forward) and 5’-ttgagagttgtggcttgc-3’ (reverse), fragment size 199 bp; for H\(_3\)R, 5’-agcgcatgaagatggtatcc-3’ (forward) and 5’-agccagaagctcagttg-3’ (reverse), fragment size 196 bp; and for H\(_4\)R, 5’-gaatcagctgcatctcgtca-3’ (forward) and 5’-gtgacctggctagcttcctg-3’ (reverse), fragment size 187 bp. The PCR condition was 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min for 37 cycles. PCR products were loaded on 3%
agarose gel to check the specificity of the reaction.

**Analysis of IFN-γ and IL-10 mRNA expression on IELs**

Total RNA extraction and reverse transcription was performed as described above. Real-time PCR was performed using LightCycler (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The oligonucleotide primers used for reaction were as follows; for IFN-γ, 5’-ctcttcctcatggctgtttc-3’ (forward) and 5’-ttgctgatggcctgattgtc-3’ (reverse), fragment size 233 bp; for IL-10, 5’-gccaagccttatcggaaatg-3’ (forward) and 5’-atggccttgtagacaccttg-3’ (reverse), fragment size 235 bp. PCR was performed using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to manufacture’s instructions. The PCR condition was 95°C for 15 min for 1 cycle, 94°C for 15 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s for 40 cycles. The data are normalized to β-actin from same sample.

**Analysis of lymphocyte subtype by flow cytometry**

The surface phenotype of IELs and splenocytes were analyzed using fluorescence-labeled anti-mouse mAbs. Cells were stained with mAbs for 15 min on ice and washed in PBS. Cells were examined using an EPICS XLII System (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA).

**Analysis of secreted cytokines by cytometric bead array**

Cells were adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL in culture media, pretreated with or without histamine ligands and incubated for the indicated time at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air with or without PMA (40 ng/mL) plus ionomycin (4 μg/mL), or LPS (1 μg/mL). The supernatants were collected at 48 h after incubation in IELs and at 24 h after incubation in splenocytes, respectively and IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5 and IL-10 were detected using the mouse cytometric bead array (CBA) kit (BD PharMingen). The CBA kit employs a series of beads with discrete fluorescence intensities that enable us to simultaneously detect multiple soluble cytokines. Each bead provides a capture surface for a
cytokine and is analogous to a coated well in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

**Analysis of intracellular cytokines**

Cells were adjusted to a concentration of $1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL in culture media, pretreated with or without histamine ($10^{-4}$ M) and incubated with PMA (40 ng/mL) plus ionomycin (4 $\mu$g/mL) and brefeldin A (20 $\mu$g/mL) for 4 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$ in air. Intracellular IL-4 and IFN-γ were detected in fixed and permeabilized cells using mAbs conjugated to PE or FITC. Data was acquired by flow cytometry using an EPICS XLII System.

**Administration of histamine agonists and antagonists**

To assess the effects of histamine via histamine receptor subtypes, we used the H$_1$R antagonist pyrilamine ($10^{-5}$ M), the H$_2$R antagonist famotidine ($10^{-5}$ M), the H$_3$R/ H$_4$R antagonist thioperamide ($10^{-5}$ M) in the presence of histamine ($10^{-4}$ M). The selective H$_2$R/ H$_4$R agonist dimaprit ($10^{-4}$ M) was used for the further confirmation of H$_2$R selectivity with or without famotidine ($10^{-5}$ M). These doses were chosen based on pharmacological characteristics according to previous studies in non-immune cells $^{32}$, and also immune cells: i.e., splenocytes $^8$, $^{10}$, and we have not observed any cell toxicity by these agents based on a trypan blue assay.

**Statistical analysis**

The Student’s $t$-test was used for comparisons of secreted cytokine production between the control and stimulation groups with PMA plus ionomycin or LPS. To examine the effects of histamine, the data are shown as a percentage of each level in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin without any histamine receptor ligand and represent the means ± standard error of the mean. Comparison between IFN-γ producing TCR$\alpha$$\beta^+$ and TCR$\gamma$$\delta^+$ population was statistically analyzed with the Student’s $t$-test. A level of $P < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.
Results

Purity and phenotypic characterization of IELs

Cell viability, determined by trypan blue exclusion, of purified IELs and splenocytes were > 93% and >95%, respectively. Cell purity of the IELs compartment assessed by flow cytometry was 80.2 ± 4.7% (Figure 1), similar to the previous report. To examine the phenotypic characteristics of purified IELs, we stained IELs with mAbs for the cell surface markers. Most IELs were CD3+ T cells (88.2 ± 3.2%, n=3) and predominantly expressed CD8 (85.6 ± 0.9%) compared with CD4 (9.1 ± 0.7%). IELs contained CD4+CD8+ double positive cells (4.0 ± 1.0%) and CD11c+ cells (7.9 ± 0.1%). IELs had comparable numbers of TCRγδ+ cells (38.6 ± 2.4%) and TCRαβ+ cells (48.7 ± 1.6%). In splenocytes, there were low number of CD3+ T cells (35.3 ± 2.7%), and few number of CD4+CD8+ (0.3 ± 0.02%) double positive T cells compared with IELs. Purity of whole splenocytes was 90.4 ± 1.0% (n=3). Splenocytes were predominantly expressed TCRαβ+ cells (30.6 ± 0.6%) compared with TCRγδ+ cells (1.9 ± 0.6%).

Profile of cytokine production derived from IELs compared with splenocytes

We compared cytokine production by IELs and splenocytes activated with or without either PMA plus ionomycin or LPS. As shown in Table 1, there was low cytokine production in the absence of stimulants (control group) both in IELs and splenocytes. Different profiles of cytokine production were observed between IELs and splenocytes in the presence of stimulants. Although IELs produced significantly higher levels of Th1-cytokines (IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2) and IL-4 when activated by PMA plus ionomycin, LPS did not yield any inducible cytokines. On the other hand, splenocytes did produce IFN-γ and TNF-α by the activation by LPS as well as PMA plus ionomycin while the production level was differs between the two conditions. Therefore, we subsequently used PMA plus ionomycin for the
following experiments.

**Detection of H₁R, H₂R, H₃R, and H₄R mRNA expression on IELs**

To elucidate the expression of known subtypes of histamine receptor in IELs, we examined RT-PCR to detect the H₁R, H₂R, H₃R, and H₄R mRNA. As shown in Figure 2, H₁R, H₂R, and H₄R mRNA were expressed on IELs, while H₂R mRNA expression seems to be more substantial compared to the expression of H₁R and H₄R mRNA. We did not observe any signal for H₃R mRNA.

**Histamine decreases IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4 derived from activated IELs as well as splenocytes**

To determine whether histamine has regulatory effects on cytokine production in IELs, we examined the cytokines in the presence or absence of histamine compared with in splenocytes. Both in IELs and splenocytes, without PMA plus ionomycin stimulation, histamine had no apparent stimulatory effects on production of these cytokines (data not shown). When the IELs were activated by PMA plus ionomycin, histamine significantly decreased IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4. The inhibitory effect of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4 was 41, 48, 39 and 32% respectively at $10^{-4}$ M histamine. These effects were also observed in splenocytes; the inhibitory effect of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4 was 30, 46, 49 and 16% respectively (Fig. 3). Dose-dependent effects by histamine, between $10^{-7}$ M and $10^{-4}$ M, were observed in Th1-cytokine (IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2) production, but not in IL-4 in IELs which was secreted at a relatively low level.

**Suppressive effects of histamine on Th1-cytokine and IL-4 secretion in IELs were mediated predominantly via H₂R**
To determine the functional selectivity of histamine receptor subtypes on the histamine-mediated regulation of cytokine production, we examined the effects of selective histamine receptor antagonists on histamine treatment in IELs compared with splenocytes. We used following selective antagonists for our experiments; pyrilamine for H1R, famotidine for H2R, and thioperamide for H3R/H4R. Selective histamine receptor antagonists did not influence cytokine production by themselves while the cells were activated by PMA plus ionomycin (data not shown). Then, cells were pretreated with pyrilamine (10⁻⁵ M), famotidine (10⁻⁵ M) or thioperamide (10⁻⁵ M) for 5 min and thereafter treated with histamine (10⁻⁴ M) according to previous reports. As shown in Figure 4, in IELs, only famotidine, blocked the suppressive effect of histamine, and restored the cytokine response on Th1-cytokine (IFN-γ, TNF-α and IL-2) and IL-4 comparable to the levels without histamine treatment. In splenocytes, the restorable effect of famotidine was not observed on IL-4, and minute effects were observed on Th1-cytokine compared to those in IELs.

To further confirm whether H2R signaling regulates cytokine production, we examined the effect of a selective agonist on IEL or splenocyte-derived IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4 production. As shown in Figure 5, dimaprit (10⁻⁴ M), a selective H2R/H4R agonist, mimicked the suppressive effect of histamine on these cytokine production derived from both IELs and splenocytes. Famotidine almost completely eliminated the effects of dimaprit on IEL-derived cytokine production. In splenocytes, the restorable effects by famotidine on the dimaprit-mediated effects on cytokine production were also significant; however, the restorations were rather small compared to those in IELs (Fig. 5).

**Histamine decreases the number of INF-γ-producing TCRαβ cells estimated by intracellular cytokine production in IELs**

We examined whether the effects of histamine on cytokine secretion were also seen
in intracellular cytokine production by IELs. Representative dot plots of intracellular IFN-γ/IL-4 staining performed after 4 hr are shown in Figure 6A. IFN-γ producing cells were detected in IELs activated by PMA plus ionomycin, whereas IL-4 producing cells were too few (0.1%) for further evaluation. The number of IFN-γ producing cells decreased in total IEL population when treated with histamine compared to the control (12% versus 18%). Further, we examined whether this effect of histamine on IFN-γ could be observed in TCRαβ⁺ or TCRγδ⁺ IELs. Interestingly, IFN-γ producing cells were clearly in the TCRαβ⁺ IEL population but not the TCRγδ⁺ IEL population (Fig. 6B), and the number of IFN-γ producing cells significantly decreased in TCRαβ⁺ IEL population when treated with histamine (Fig. 6B).

Suppressive effects of histamine on Th1-cytokine and IL-4 does not accompany with enhancement of IL-10 production in IELs

Since increase of IL-10 is well-known to induce peripheral T cell tolerance and previous studies revealed histamine increased IL-10 secretion via H₂R in DC, Th2 cells and splenocytes, we examined whether suppressive effects of histamine on Th1-cytokine and IL-4 accompanied with enhancement of IL-10 production in IELs. IELs certainly produced significantly higher levels of IL-10 when activated by PMA plus ionomycin, compared to no stimulation control (261.7 ± 48.3 versus. 53.3 ± 24.0 pg/ml). Unexpectedly, histamine had no effect on secreted IL-10 level in activated IELs (Fig. 7). Further, in splenocytes there is no significant increase of secreted IL-10 when treated by PMA plus ionomycin and also no significant effect with histamine.

Histamine reduced IFN-γ mRNA expression, but not IL-10 mRNA expression in IELs

To evaluate the mechanism underlying histamine-mediated cytokine suppression,
the cytokine mRNAs levels were also tested to know whether the expression of were
modulated by histamine under stimulation. We examined real-time PCR to reveal the IFN-γ
and IL-10 mRNA expression in IELs. As shown in Figure 8, histamine significantly
decreased the IFN-γ mRNA expression in activated IELs by PMA plus ionomycin at 6 h
incubation although the difference with/without histamine at 24h incubation turn out to be
small. On the contrary, IL-10 mRNA expression levels were not modulated by histamine
treatment. These results suggested that the regulation of cytokine production by histamine is
attained at mRNA level.
Discussion

In this study, we have examined for the first time, whether histamine has a direct effect on cytokine production in murine IELs in vitro. Our main findings were that histamine decreased IEL-derived Th1-cytokine production and also decreased IL-4 production at a low level. These suppressive effects of histamine did not accompany with enhancement of IL-10 production. A selective H$_2$R antagonist (famotidine), but not H$_1$R and H$_3$R/H$_4$R antagonists, competed with those suppressive effects of histamine. The effects of histamine were mimicked by a selective H$_2$R/H$_4$R agonist (dimaprit), and the effects of dimaprit were also competed by famotidine. Our findings involved that the suppressive effects of histamine on Th1-cytokine and IL-4 secretion in IELs were mediated predominantly via H$_2$R signaling. Further, we found the number of IFN-$\gamma$ producing cells significantly decreased in TCR$\alpha\beta^+$ IEL population when treated with histamine and also the expression of IFN-$\gamma$ significantly decreased in histamine treated IEL. The cytokine production by histamine was supposed to be attained at mRNA level.

IELs arise from both thymic-dependent and thymic-independent sources and they are phenotypically and functionally distinct from the T cells of peripheral blood or the spleen. As for the stimulation of IELs, we have tested PMA plus ionomycin and LPS. We also intended to use anti-CD3, experiments fail to show the enough stimulatory reaction, and variations among the experiments were too high to evaluate the effect of histamine and its ligands (data not shown). Although it is well known that LPS activates many cultured cells including peripheral blood monocytic cells, we found that LPS did not have an effect on the IEL-derived cytokines tested. While splenocytes are composed not only T cells and B cells, but also antigen presenting cells (APCs) such as macrophages and dendritic cells which express TLR4, IELs are considered that they do not express TLR4.

Previous studies have shown that histamine regulates monocytes, dendritic cells, T
cells and B cells in lymphatic organs and subepithelial tissues, and different effects have been observed among these cell types. In APCs, H₁R and H₃R signaling induce proinflammatory activity and increased APCs capacity, whereas H₂R signaling plays a suppressive role on monocytes and monocyte-derived dendritic cells (DCs). In peripheral T cells, histamine enhances Th1-type responses by triggering H₁R, whereas both Th1- and Th2-type responses are negatively regulated by H₂R. These distinct effects suggest roles for H₁R in autoimmunity and H₂R in peripheral tolerance. In digestive tract, the expression of H₁R, H₂R, and H₄R mRNA in the mucosa was reported. These reports did not distinguish between IELs and other kind of cells such as enterocytes or LPLs. Our findings verify that dominantly H₂R, but also H₁R and H₄R, mRNA are expressed on murine IELs along with the facts demonstrated immunological response in case of H₂R.

In the present study, histamine suppressed Th1-cytokines and IL-4. H₂R-dependent effects of Th1-cytokine and IL-4 suppression in IELs are similar to those of peripheral T cells which previously reported. These effects may be the reflection of the functional characteristics of T cell tolerance in mucosal epithelium as IELs are crucial for the mucosal response to foreign antigens. Increase of IL-10 is well-known to induce peripheral T cell tolerance. Since earlier reports have revealed histamine enhanced IL-10 secretion through H₂R in monocytes, DCs, PBMC and splenocytes, we examined whether secreted IL-10 level in IELs was also affected by histamine. Contrary to our prediction, there are significant differences in IL-10 production in IELs compared to that of splenocytes. The suppressive effects of histamine did not accompany with enhancement of IL-10 production or IL-10 mRNA expression in IELs. Our findings suggested that the other mechanisms may exist to suppress the cytokine production for T cell tolerance in IELs. Since former report suggested that the adherent cells were the key modulator of histamine-mediated effects on IL-10 production in splenocytes, small amount of IL-10 production in current experiments
was may be due to different condition of the cell stimulation; i.e., without adherent cells in our study.

In the present study, H₁R, H₃R or H₄R-mediated regulation of IELs cytokine production was not observed. H₂R antagonists are widely used to treat acid-related diseases such as peptic ulcer and reflux esophagitis. It is possible that H₂R antagonists may affect the clinical course of certain gastrointestinal diseases by way of regulating the local mucosal immunity rather through the systemic immune regulation. Enhancement of inflammatory cytokines and Th1/Th2 dysregulation are present in the intestinal T cells of patients with Crohn’s disease. Since the suppressive effect of histamine on the IELs is more remarkable in the Th1 cytokines rather than Th2, it is possible that histamine may have a protective effect on the inflammation of Crohn’s disease which is known to be Th1-polarized.

Upregulation of histamine and histidine decarboxylase are known to occurs in colorectal cancers. Endogenous histamine in colon cancer tissues suppresses local tumor immunity and promotes tumor growth via H₂R signaling. The H₂R antagonist famotidine enhances lymphocytic infiltration in colorectal cancer and cimetidine attenuates experimental tumor growth. Further, some clinical studies have found survival benefits by administering H₂R antagonists for the treatment of colorectal cancer. Given that IELs are crucial for immune surveillance of the intestinal epithelium, direct regulation of IELs by histamine would be associated with the suppression of apoptosis of tumor cells.

In this study, we also examined whether the effects of histamine on cytokines were reflected in the intracellular cytokine production by IELs. Whereas there were too few IL-4 producing cells to evaluate the effect of histamine, IFN-γ producing cells were significantly reduced by treatment with histamine. Since IELs are heterogenous with regard to their phenotype and function, we further determined which cell subsets produced this cytokine. We found that almost all of the IFN-γ producing cells were TCRαβ⁺ cells, but not TCRγδ⁺
cells. This suggests that there are functional differences, with regard to the cytokine production, in IEL subsets, whereas earlier studies have reported that TCRγδ⁺ cells produced IFN-γ¹⁹,²⁴,²⁵. In the previous study, cells were stimulated by anti CD3 mAb, anti TCRαβ mAb, or anti TCRγδ mAb²⁵.

There are some limitations in this study. Our findings of regulatory effect by histamine on IELs were only observed in the particular condition stimulated PMA plus ionomycin *in vitro*, that is a generally recognized method to activate lymphocytes via protein kinase C pathway and calcium-dependent mechanisms. The purified IELs are relatively fragile to assess their functional characteristics, and are more difficult to examine their secreted cytokines than the other leucocyte’s compartments such as PBMCs and splenocytes. Therefore, further examination in gastrointestinal disease models *in vivo* may be required to determine the extent of effects of our findings.

In summary, we have presented the basic mechanism of histamine-mediated immunoregulation of IELs *in vitro*. Histamine has a direct suppressive effect on IEL-derived Th1-cytokines and IL-4, which may have an important role in the local immunoregulation of the intestinal epithelium. The inhibitory effects of histamine on Th1-cytokines in IELs were mediated predominantly via H₂R signaling. Although further study is required to clarify the role of histamine-mediated immunoregulation in the intestinal mucosa *in vivo*, our findings would help to account for an important regulatory mechanism by endogenous histamine for the control of mucosal inflammatory functions in several gastrointestinal diseases.
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Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Purity and phenotypic characterization of IELs
Expression of CD3, CD4, CD8, CD11c, TCR\(\alpha\beta\), TCR\(\gamma\delta\) on IELs stained with fluorescence-labeled anti-mouse mAbs were analyzed by flow cytometer. These experiments were performed three times with similar results. Side scatter (SS) depends on the inner complexity of the particle, and forward scatter (FS) correlates with the cell volume.

Figure 2. Expression of H\(_1\)R, H\(_2\)R, H\(_3\)R, and H\(_4\)R mRNA on IELs
The mRNA expression of histamine receptor subtypes in IELs was detected by conventional RT-PCR. PCR products were loaded on 3% agarose gel to check the specificity of the reaction. The bands were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide.
Figure 3. Histamine decreases Th1-cytokine and IL-4 derived from IELs and splenocytes

Cells were adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL, treated with or without histamine (10^-7 and 10^-4 M) in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin. IELs were incubated for 48 h, and splenocytes were for 24 h. Cytokine levels were measured by the CBA system. The data are shown as a percentage compared to the level without histamine and represented as the means ± SEM in 9 independent experiments. The mean production of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4 in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin without histamine in IELs were 3396.9 pg/mL, 396.9 pg/mL, 272.7 pg/mL and 45.2 pg/mL, respectively. In splenocytes, the mean production of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4 in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin without histamine were 5116.1 pg/mL, 460.3 pg/mL, 392.3 pg/mL and 39.1 pg/mL. *, P < 0.05 and #, P < 0.01 (compared to the control group)
Figure 4.

A selective H2R antagonist, but not a selective H1R or H3R/H4R antagonist, restored the suppressive effect of histamine on Th1-cytokine and IL-4 production on IELs.

Effects of selective histamine receptor antagonists (A) pyrilamine; H1R antagonist, (B) famotidine; H2R antagonist, and (C) thioperamide; H3R/H4R antagonist on histamine-induced inhibition of Th1-cytokine and IL-4 production in IELs and splenocytes were examined. Cells were adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL, pretreated with pyrilamine (10^-5 M), famotidine (10^-5 M) or thioperamide (10^-5 M) for 5 min. Cells were then treated with histamine (10^-4 M) in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin. IELs were incubated for 48 h, and splenocytes were for 24 h. The levels of cytokine were measured by the CBA system. The data are shown as a percentage of the levels in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin without histamine, and represent the means ± SEM in 6~10 independent experiments. *, P < 0.05 and #, P < 0.01 (compared to the control group).
Figure 5. A selective H$_2$R/H$_4$R agonist mimicked the suppressive effects of histamine on Th1-cytokine and IL-4 production, that is blocked by a selective H$_2$R antagonist

Effects of a selective histamine H$_2$R/H$_4$R agonist (dimaprit) on Th1-cytokine and IL-4 production in IELs were examined. Cells were adjusted to a concentration of 1×10$^6$ cells/mL, pretreated with or without famotidine (10$^{-5}$ M), a selective H$_2$R antagonist, for 5 min, then treated with dimaprit (10$^{-4}$ M) in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin. IELs were incubated for 48 h, and splenocytes were for 24 h. The levels of cytokine were measured by the CBA system. The data are shown as a percentage compared to the levels without histamine in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin without histamine and represent the means ± SEM in 9 independent experiments. *, $P < 0.05$ and #, $P < 0.01$ (compared to the control group)
Figure 6. Effects of histamine on intracellular IFN-γ/IL-4 production in IELs

(A) Cells were treated with or without histamine (10^{-4} M), stimulated with or without PMA plus ionomycin and incubated for 4 hr. Expression of intracellular IFN-γ and IL-4 were detected with fluorescence-labeled anti-mouse mAbs and analyzed by flow cytometry. These experiments were performed three times with similar results.

(B) Effects of histamine on intracellular IFN-γ production in TCRαβ^+ and TCRγδ^+ cells. IELs were pretreated with or without histamine (10^{-4} M), stimulated with or without PMA plus ionomycin, and incubated for 4 hr. Expression of TCRαβ^+, TCRγδ^+ and intracellular IFN-γ were labelled with fluorescence-labeled anti-mouse mAbs and analyzed by flow cytometry. These experiments were performed three times with similar results.

(C) Quantitative analysis of INF-γ-producing cells with or without histamine in between TCRαβ^+ and TCRγδ^+ IELs. Intracellular IFN-γ positive cells were counted in TCRαβ^+ IELs or TCRγδ^+ IELs with or without histamine (10^{-4} M), stimulated with PMA plus ionomycin for 4 h. The data represent the means ± SEM in 3 independent experiments. *, P < 0.05 (compared to the control group)
Figure 7. Production of IL-10 derived from IELs was not affected by histamine

Cells were adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL, treated with or without histamine (10^{-7} and 10^{-4} M) in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin and incubated. The levels of IL-10 were measured by the CBA system. The data represent the means ± SEM in 5~10 independent experiments. Mean production of IL-10 in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin was 261.7 pg/mL on IELs and 76.7 pg/mL on splenocytes. No significant change was observed among the treatment groups.
Figure 8
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**Figure 8.** Histamine reduced IFN-γ mRNA expression, but not IL-10 mRNA expression in IELs

Effects of histamine on IFN-γ (A) and IL-10 mRNA (B) expression in IELs. Cells were adjusted to a concentration of $1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL, treated with or without histamine ($10^{-4}$ M) in the presence of PMA plus ionomycin and incubated for 6 h or 24 h. Cytokine mRNA levels are normalized to β-actin from same sample. The data represent the means ± SEM in 3 independent experiments performed in triplicate. *, $P < 0.05$ (compared to the absence of histamine group)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (pg/mL)</th>
<th>PMA (40ng/mL) + ionomycin (4μg/mL) (pg/mL)</th>
<th>LPS (1μg/mL) (pg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IELs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3473.6 ± 578.9 (p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.8 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>40.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>228.5 ± 71.4 (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>40.0 ± 6.4 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>133.8 ± 10.7 (p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.8 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>10.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>40.1 ± 6.3 (p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.3 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>8.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>13.8 ± 5.3 (n.s.)</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.4 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Splenocytes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4045.5 ± 725.9 (p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>127.9 ± 33.1 (p &lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>15.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>207.3 ± 86.6 (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>302.1 ± 42.4 (p &lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>113.6 ± 57.2 (n.s.)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>48.5 ± 8.3 (p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.7 (n.s.)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.9 (n.s.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as means ± SEM in 3 ~ 5 independent experiments. Cytokine production by the conditions were compared to that of control condition.